| Questions: | Answers: |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. Construct the truth table for <br> each of the following <br> expressions. Indicate for each <br> expression whether it is a <br> tautology, a contradiction, or <br> neither (meaning that it is <br> contingent). |  |
| a) $(P \wedge(P \Rightarrow Q)) \wedge \neg Q$ <br> b) $(P \Rightarrow Q) \Leftrightarrow(\neg P \vee Q)$ <br> c) $(Q \wedge(P \Rightarrow Q)) \Rightarrow P$ |  |

2. Consider the expression:
$(\mathrm{P} \Rightarrow \mathrm{Q}) \wedge(\neg \mathrm{P} \Rightarrow \mathrm{Q}) \Rightarrow \mathrm{Q}$.
a) Use a truth table to show that this expression is a tautology.
b) If you substitute ( $\mathrm{R} \wedge \neg \mathrm{S}$ ) for $P$ and $\neg(R \vee W)$ for $Q$, is the resulting expression a tautology?

| that allows one to conclude R, given the premises: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $P \vee Q, P \Rightarrow R$, and $Q \Rightarrow R$. |  |
| a) Convert the dilemma into a logical expression that can be used to show that the argument is sound. |  |
| b) Use a truth table to prove that the dilemma is a sound argument. |  |
| 4. Write an expression equivalent to the dual of $\neg \mathrm{P} \wedge \mathrm{Q} \wedge \mathrm{T}$ using only the NAND operator. |  |
| 5. Reduce the expression |  |
| $\mathrm{Q} \vee \neg((\mathrm{P} \Rightarrow \mathrm{Q}) \wedge \mathrm{P})$ |  |
| to $T$. Your reduction must be algebraic and you must justify every step with the law (or laws) you use for the step. |  |
| Your proof must use logical equivalences (not truth tables). Recall that the textbook gives several tables of logical equivalences in section 1.3.2 |  |



