

Introduction to Software Testing

CS 240 – Advanced Programming Concepts

Software Quality Assurance

- The purpose of SQA is to find and report defects AND succeed in getting them fixed
- What is a software defect?
 - Definition #1: There is a mismatch between the program and its requirements spec or functional spec
 - This definition is fine if a requirements specification exists and is complete and correct (not always true)
 - Definition #2: The program does not do what its end users reasonably expect it to do
 - This definition always applies, even when there's no specification

Software Quality Assurance

- Categories of Defects
 - Functional defects
 - The program's features don't work as they should
 - User Interface defects
 - Usability problems
 - Performance defects
 - Too slow, uses too much memory/disk space/bandwidth/etc.
 - Error Handling defects
 - Failure to anticipate and handle possible errors, or deal with them in a reasonable way
 - Security defects
 - Attackers can compromise the system and access sensitive data or other resources

Software Quality Assurance

- Categories of Defects
 - Load defects
 - Can't handle many concurrent users, can't handle large data sets
 - Configuration defects
 - Doesn't work on the required hardware/OS/browser configurations
 - Race conditions
 - Behavior depends on the interleaving of concurrent activities
 - Documentation defects
 - User manuals or online help isn't clear, complete, well-organized

Software Quality Assurance

- The longer defects remain in the system, the more expensive they become
 - The cost of a defect grows dramatically the longer it remains in the system
 - What is the cost of a defect in the requirements specification if it's found
 - during requirements phase?
 - during implementation?
 - after product ships?
- SQA should be performed throughout the software development life cycle
 - It's not something you do only at the end after everything's pretty much done

Software Quality Assurance

- The three primary SQA activities:
 - Technical Reviews
 - Software Inspection
 - Code Reviews
 - Formal Verification
 - i.e. Mathematical Proofs of Correctness
 - Software Testing

Technical Reviews

- A “review” is a meeting where a work product is reviewed by a small group of people who are qualified to give feedback, find problems, suggest improvements, etc.
- Anything can be reviewed: requirements spec, functional spec, design, code, test cases, user documentation
- Reviews range in formality
 - In the morning, spend some time reviewing your work of the previous day
 - Informal requests for feedback from peers
 - Mandatory code reviews before committing code to the repository
 - Formal meetings, pre-scheduled, specific invitees, prior preparation (these formal reviews are typically called software inspection)
- Problems found during reviews are fixed, resulting in improved quality
- Reviews are the most effective QA technique, but they can be expensive
 - Formal reviews (inspections) are not popular among agile developers

Formal Verification

- In addition to Technical Reviews and Software Testing, Formal Verification is another approach to QA
- Create a formal “model” of the system
 - Some kind of automaton (i.e., state machine) or other mathematical abstraction that precisely captures the system’s behavior
- “Check” the model by formally proving that it implements the desired behavior
 - Automated theorem proving systems are often applied
 - Or, prove that the model does not behave correctly, thus revealing a defect
- Historically, formal verification has been expensive and limited to relatively small programs, but techniques are improving all the time. Challenges include:
 - Complex systems are hard to formalize with a “model”
 - Ensuring that the “model” accurately captures the system’s behavior
 - State space explosion: real systems have so many possible states that proving things about them is hard
 - Making it accessible to people who aren’t formal verification experts

Software Testing

- Testing is the process of detecting errors by running the actual software and verifying that it works as it should
 - Test cases, Expected results, Actual results
- Testing is by far the most popular QA activity (but not the most effective)
- Formal technical reviews are more effective than testing, but are often ignored
- Research has shown that all forms of testing combined usually find less than 80% of the errors present
- A typical project might expend 50% of its resources on testing

Software Testing

- Exhaustively testing software is not feasible
 - The number of possible input combinations is effectively infinite
 - The number of unique paths through the code is effectively infinite
 - You might not live long enough to exhaustively test a non-trivial software system
- We must do partial testing because we only have enough resources (time and money) to run relatively few test cases
- Partial testing can never prove the absence of defects
 - If the system passes all your test cases, there could still be defects, you just need more or better test cases to find them

Software Testing

- Effective testing lies in intelligently choosing the relatively few test cases that will actually be executed
 - Test all requirements and features defined in the requirements spec. and functional spec.
 - Focus on scenarios that users are likely to encounter in practice
 - Test cases should not be redundant (i.e., each one should follow a different path through the code)
 - Analyze the program's design and code to find potential weak areas
 - Analyze all points at which data enters the system and look for ways to attack it

Software Testing

- Approaches for test case design are generally divided into two broad categories: Black Box Testing and White Box Testing
- Black Box Testing
 - The tester has limited knowledge of the inner workings of the item being tested
 - Test cases are based on the specification of the item's external behavior
- White Box Testing
 - The tester has knowledge of the inner workings of the item being tested
 - Test cases are based on the specification of the item's external behavior AND knowledge of its internal implementation

Software Testing

- Testing is unlike other software development activities because the goal is to break the software rather than to create it
- Effective testing requires the assumption that you will find defects
- Effective testing requires that you want to find defects
- If you think you won't find defects, or you don't want to, you will have set up a self-fulfilling prophecy
- Testing by both developers and an independent testing group are essential
 - They have different perspectives and motivations
 - They do different kinds of tests (developer does white box, test team does black box), which tend to discover different types of defects

Software Testing

- Defects are not evenly distributed (i.e., they tend to cluster)
- Research has shown that:
 - 80% of a system's defects are found in 20% of its code
 - 50% of a system's defects are found in 5% of its code
- There is a high correlation between bugs and complex code.
 - Use tools to measure code complexity, and focus testing on those modules with the most complex code
- One goal of testing is to identify the most problematic modules
 - Redesign may be needed if there is an inherent design flaw
 - Or, replace buggy module with a third-party library/product

Software Testing

- How many defects should you expect to find?
 - It depends on your development process
 - Most projects experience between 1 and 25 errors per 1000 LOC
 - The Applications Division at Microsoft reports 10 to 20 errors per 1000 LOC, with 0.5 errors per 1000 LOC in released products

Software Testing

- Automation of test cases is essential to make frequent re-running of test cases feasible
- A lot of the interesting testing work is found in inventing and creating ways to automate test cases (i.e., create programs whose purpose is to test other programs)
- Automation requires a lot of software design and implementation (sometimes called “Test Engineering”)
- Some tests are difficult to automate and must be run manually

Not all Defects Should be Fixed

- Software is incredibly complex and large systems typically have many defects (known and unknown)
- Some defects are not worth the cost to fix
 - Time spent on fixing minor, unimportant defects (such as a button two pixels off from where it should be) is time that can't be spent on more important defects or additional features
- Cost benefit analysis must be employed (either formal or informal)
 - Weigh the cost of fixing against the cost of not fixing
- Large companies with large codebases typically have many known defects they are choosing not to fix (at least not now)
- Avoid spending resources writing tests that will only catch minor or unimportant defects